Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development Studies
Volume 3 – Issue 2 – 2026
ISSN Online 3061-2756
www.imadr.jards.ugal.ro

DOI https://doi.org/10.35219/jards.2026.2.19

# Emerging Technologies as a Sustainable Solution to Agricultural Risks: Challenges and Prospects

Mirela-Georgiana Matei (Oprea)*, Elena-Roxana Şuhani (Toader)**, Dorina Nicoleta Mocuța***

ARTICLE INFO

*Article history*:
Received: March 30, 2026
Accepted: June 20, 2026
Published: June 30, 2026

*JEL Classification:*
Q16, Q54, Q12, D81, Q01

*Keywords:*
agricultural risk management, smart agriculture, digital transformation, precision agriculture, climate resilience, big data analytics, sustainability

ABSTRACT

Considering that the agricultural sector is one of the most important areas of activity worldwide, this article aims to analyse how existing technological tools in agriculture can support risk management on farms. Based on the existing specialized literature, we aim to examine three essential aspects: how technology has revolutionized traditional agriculture, specific technological tools for global risk management, the degree of farmers' adaptability to these changes, and the extent to which technology is implemented on farms. The study shows that although there are numerous tools for improving and automating agricultural activities, there are still countries where the adoption of digital technologies is limited—mainly due to a lack of educational training, insufficient support, and farmers' reluctance to change. In this context, the use of technology becomes a key factor not only in reducing risks but also in promoting sustainability in modern agriculture. The paper proposes an integrated framework that links risk identification with technological solutions and specific training modules.



## 1. Introduction

Rural areas occupy a central place within the European Union's policy framework and benefit from targeted financial instruments aimed at reducing structural disparities and enhancing regional cohesion. Given that a significant proportion of the population resides in rural regions and considering the productivity gaps that often characterize agricultural and food production activities, continuous adaptation is required to strengthen economic viability and long-term resilience.

At the same time, the 21st century has been marked by an increasing frequency of disruptive events, including economic crises, climate-related hazards, and health emergencies (Toader & Mocuța, 2020). These developments have intensified the need for coherent rural development strategies capable of enhancing adaptability, sustainability, and stability. Within this broader framework, agriculture plays a pivotal role, as it remains the primary economic activity in many rural areas while also exerting substantial environmental impact.

Sustainable agriculture has therefore become a strategic priority, particularly in countries where the sector represents a significant share of national income and employment (Cristea et al., 2019). Beyond

*, **, *** Faculty of Management and Rural Development, University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Romania. Email addresses: mirelaamatei@gmail.com (M. G. Matei), suhanielena@yahoo.com (E. R. Suhani), dorinamocuta@yahoo.com (Corresponding author - D.N. Mocuta).

its economic importance, agriculture contributes to rural development and social cohesion. However, global challenges such as climate change, market volatility, and increasing food demand expose farms to multiple categories of risk that directly affect their performance and long-term sustainability.

From price fluctuations and extreme weather events to plant diseases, pest outbreaks, and political uncertainties, the agricultural sector faces complex and interconnected risks. In this context, technological innovation is increasingly recognized as a core component of modern risk management strategies, complementing traditional instruments such as insurance schemes and crop diversification (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations FAO, 2022).

To examine these dynamics, the present study focuses on three main directions: the structural transformation of agriculture under successive industrial revolutions, the technological tools employed in agricultural risk management, and the degree of adaptation of farmers to digital innovations. The paper is structured as follows: the first chapter outlines the theoretical foundations of agricultural transformation; the second chapter analyzes risk management in the context of emerging technologies; and the third chapter presents the analytical findings derived from the literature-based assessment, evaluates the extent of agricultural digitalization, and formulates recommendations for strengthening technological integration.

## 2. Literature review

Successive industrial revolutions progressively embedded technology into economic and production systems, transforming organizational structures and decision-making processes (Rifkin, 2011; Schwab, 2016). Within the agricultural sector, this cumulative technological intensification translated into increased mechanization, digitalization, and automation, ultimately reshaping risk exposure and management capacities. Early industrialization introduced mechanization, enabling the transition from labor-intensive subsistence farming to market-oriented production. Subsequent phases expanded productivity through chemical inputs and energy-based technologies, while the post-war period accelerated yield growth through high-performing crop varieties and irrigation systems. The digital era marked a decisive shift toward data-driven agriculture, integrating GPS technologies, farm management software, and precision tools into production processes.

More recently, the Fourth Industrial Revolution has accelerated technological integration by incorporating artificial intelligence, robotics, IoT systems, and big data analytics into economic and production systems, including agriculture (Schwab, 2016). As a result, agriculture has evolved into a technologically embedded sector increasingly capable of addressing global challenges such as food security, climate variability, and market volatility (FAO, 2022). Within this context, the concept of “smart agriculture” has emerged, referring to the integration of information and communication technologies into the agricultural management cycle. Smart agriculture operates as a cyber-physical system in which interconnected devices collect, process, and transmit real-time data, enabling more accurate and timely decision-making (Wolfert et al., 2014). Through advanced sensing technologies, including multispectral and hyperspectral imaging, thermography, LiDAR, and computer vision systems, farms are increasingly capable of capturing high-resolution environmental and crop-related data.

As cloud computing infrastructures and IoT networks expand, farming operations become progressively data-driven, relying on continuous monitoring and automated feedback mechanisms (Sundmaeker et al., 2016). Artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms further enhance this process by transforming large datasets into actionable knowledge, enabling predictive modelling, targeted management, and adaptive responses to changing environmental conditions. Unlike traditional precision agriculture, which primarily addresses spatial variability within fields, smart agriculture incorporates contextual awareness and real-time adaptability into a comprehensive management framework. Autonomous devices equipped with sensors and embedded intelligence can adjust operations in response to changing weather conditions, crop health indicators, or market signals. While machines increasingly perform operational tasks, human oversight remains essential at the strategic level of farm management (Wolfert et al., 2017).

Central to this transformation is the growing role of big data. Big data refers to datasets characterized by high volume, variety, and velocity, whose complexity exceeds the capacity of conventional data-processing systems (De Mauro et al., 2016; Kamilaris et al., 2017). Extracting meaningful insights from such datasets requires advanced analytical techniques, cloud-based infrastructures, and integrated technological systems (Hashem et al., 2015). In this context, the FAIR data principles emphasize that agricultural data should be findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable in order to maximize its practical and scientific value (Wilkinson et al., 2016).

The integration of big data, IoT systems, artificial intelligence, and precision agriculture technologies increasingly support agricultural risk management. Machines equipped with environmental sensors can detect climatic fluctuations, soil conditions, or pest emergence and automatically adjust operational parameters. When combined with external datasets such as weather forecasts or market information, these technologies enhance predictive capacity and reduce uncertainty. Digital agriculture thus facilitates a transition from reactive responses to proactive and anticipatory risk management strategies (Paudel et al., 2025).

Despite these technological advancements, adoption levels vary significantly across countries and regions. Structural constraints such as high implementation costs, insufficient technical support, limited digital literacy, and resistance to organizational change hinder widespread diffusion, particularly in rural areas characterized by limited connectivity, affordability constraints, and digital skills gaps (Trendov et al., 2019; FAO, 2021). Although public–private partnerships and supportive policy frameworks may facilitate technological uptake, empirical evidence regarding the effective integration of these tools at farm level remains limited. Moreover, the extent to which digital technologies measurably enhance resilience and reduce agricultural risk exposure is not yet sufficiently clarified.

Although the literature extensively documents the technological transformation of agriculture and highlights the theoretical potential of smart farming, big data, and digital tools in improving efficiency and sustainability, several gaps remain insufficiently addressed. Recent bibliometric analyses confirm the rapid expansion of research on big data and smart farming, while simultaneously identifying persistent gaps related to governance structures, interdisciplinary collaboration, and effective farm-level implementation (Paudel et al., 2025). First, much of the existing research focuses on conceptual frameworks and technological capabilities, while limited empirical evidence is available regarding the actual degree of digital adoption at farm level. Furthermore, the relationship between technological integration and measurable improvements in agricultural risk management is still underexplored. There is limited understanding of how farmers perceive, implement, and operationalize these technologies in response to specific risk categories such as climatic variability, market volatility, or production uncertainty. Consequently, further empirical investigation is required to evaluate the level of agricultural digitalization and the effectiveness of emerging technologies in strengthening farm-level resilience and long-term sustainability.

## 3. Materials and methods

To address these identified gaps, the present study adopts a qualitative and conceptual research approach based on the systematic analysis of existing scientific literature and institutional reports concerning digital transformation in agriculture and its implications for risk management. Rather than relying on primary data collection, the research synthesizes theoretical contributions and empirical findings from previously published studies to clarify dominant trends, conceptual frameworks, and unresolved issues. The analysis draws on peer-reviewed academic articles, policy documents, and reports issued by recognized international organizations. Source selection was guided by relevance to agricultural digitalization, smart farming systems, big data integration, and risk mitigation strategies, as well as by scientific credibility and recency. Attention was given to studies examining the relationship between technological innovation and agricultural resilience under conditions of climate variability, market volatility, and production uncertainty. Methodologically, the study combines descriptive synthesis with conceptual analysis. The literature was examined to trace the evolution of technological integration in agriculture, to clarify the foundations of smart agriculture and data-driven management models, and to evaluate how emerging technologies contribute to risk reduction and sustainability. This approach enables a structured assessment of the role of digital technologies in strengthening farm-level resilience and provides a coherent response to the research gaps identified in the previous section. This literature-based analytical approach ensures conceptual coherence while avoiding methodological inconsistencies associated with primary empirical data collection.

## 4. Results and discussions

The findings derived from the literature analysis indicate that digital technologies contribute to agricultural risk management across multiple dimensions, including production, financial, climatic, and reputational risks (table 1). Recent empirical applications of machine learning models in the agrifood chain further confirm that predictive tools such as neural networks and decision trees enhance monitoring and forecasting capacities and support preventive decision-making (Nijloveanu et al., 2024). Rather than functioning solely as efficiency-enhancing instruments, emerging technologies increasingly operate as structural components of integrated risk mitigation frameworks.

As illustrated in Table 1, monitoring systems based on sensors, drones, and IoT infrastructures enable the early detection of environmental threats, thereby reducing production-related vulnerabilities. Big data analytics and artificial intelligence enhance predictive capacity and support data-driven decision-making under conditions of climatic variability and market uncertainty. Precision agriculture and smart irrigation systems mitigate input inefficiencies and resource-related risks, while satellite-based insurance models and digital traceability platforms address financial exposure and market instability. In addition, advanced climate modelling strengthens adaptive capacity by facilitating scenario-based planning and long-term resilience strategies.

Table 1. Contribution of new technologies to risk management on agricultural farms

| Technology / Innovation | Contribution to risk management |
|---|---|
| *Sensors, Drones, Weather Stations (IoT)* | *Real-time monitoring of farm conditions, allowing rapid reactions to risk factors (drought, diseases, pests).* |
| *Big Data & AI (data analysis)* | *Identifying patterns, predicting climate or production risks, making decisions on an objective basis.* |
| *Precision agriculture* | *Rational application of inputs (water, fertilizers, pesticides), reduction of losses and unjustified costs.* |
| *Digital traceability platforms* | *Reducing reputational risk and increasing food safety through complete monitoring of the production chain.* |
| *Smart irrigation systems* | *Optimizing water consumption according to needs, preventing losses caused by drought.* |
| *Satellite data-based insurance* | *Objective assessment of damages, faster access to compensation, reduction of financial risk.* |
| *Advanced climate modelling and forecasting* | *Adaptation to climate change by simulating scenarios and adjusting production strategies.* |
| *Blockchain and digital certificates* | *Ensuring the authenticity and traceability of products, reducing the risks of rejection from international markets.* |

*Source: Author's elaboration based on the literature review*

Overall, the synthesis of the reviewed literature suggests that digital technologies contribute to four core risk management functions: identification, assessment, reduction, and transfer of risk. Their integration into farm management systems strengthens resilience and long-term sustainability, although their effectiveness depends on adoption levels, farmer capabilities, and institutional support.

While digital technologies demonstrate substantial potential for improving agricultural risk management, their actual impact depends on farmers' capacity and willingness to adopt and effectively integrate these innovations into farm operations. Empirical evidence highlights significant regional disparities in the diffusion of advanced agricultural technologies, particularly between developed and developing economies (FAO, 2019).

As shown in Table 2, farmers' adaptability to digital technologies is influenced by a combination of economic, infrastructural, educational, institutional, and structural factors. High investment costs and limited access to funding represent major constraints, particularly in contexts marked by market instability and income volatility. These financial barriers are often compounded by insufficient digital infrastructure and connectivity gaps. Limited technological knowledge and inadequate training further reduce the effective use of available innovations. Institutional frameworks and regulatory environments also shape adoption patterns, particularly where enabling conditions remain underdeveloped. Climate uncertainty, unstable markets, and structural disparities across agricultural systems add additional layers of complexity, especially where technologies are not adequately adapted to local contexts (FAO, 2022).

Table 2. Factors influencing the adoption of technologies on farms

| Factor | Description |
|---|---|
| *1. High investment costs* | *Advanced technologies are expensive and not accessible to small farmers, especially in developing countries. Upfront costs can be a significant obstacle.* |
| *2. Lack of infrastructure* | *Insufficient infrastructure, such as slow internet networks or the lack of modern irrigation systems, limits the application of advanced technologies.* |
| *3. Limited technological knowledge and education* | *Farmers do not always have the necessary knowledge to use modern technologies. The lack of training in the technological field is a significant barrier.* |
| *4. Limited access to funding* | *Farmers in certain countries have difficulty accessing finance to invest in advanced technologies. The lack of credits and subsidies aggravates this problem.* |
| *5. Inadequate agricultural regulations and policies* | *Regulations in some countries do not support the implementation of advanced technologies. Governments may prioritize traditional infrastructure over technological innovations.* |
| *6. Culture and resistance to change* | *Farmers are often reluctant to change traditional practices. New technologies are often perceived as risky or complicated.* |
| *7. Lack of localized research and development* | *Technologies need to be adapted to local conditions, and the lack of local research means that global technologies are not always adequate.* |
| *8. Climate risks and uncertainty* | *Farmers may be reluctant to adopt expensive technologies in an environment with major or unpredictable climate risks.* |
| *9. Unstable agricultural market* | *The instability of prices and market demands aggravate farmers' financial risks, and expensive technologies may seem too risky.* |
| *10. Agricultural diversity and complexity* | *Soil conditions, crop types and working methods vary between agricultural regions, and technologies are not always adaptable for all types of farms.* |

*Source: Author's elaboration based on FAO (2022)*

Overall, the evidence suggests that the effectiveness of digital technologies in strengthening agricultural risk management depends not only on their availability but also on the broader economic, institutional, and socio-cultural environment in which they are implemented.

The comparative overview presented in Table 3 illustrates documented cases in which the adoption of smart agricultural technologies is associated with enhanced monitoring, improved forecasting, and strengthened risk mitigation capacities across diverse geographical and economic contexts.

Table 3. Countries that have adopted smart agricultural technology and the impact on risk

| Country | Adopted technologies | Impact on Risk Management |
|---|---|---|
| India | Satellite-based advisory systems, mobile agricultural apps, IoT sensors, drones, AI-driven decision-support platforms. | *Digital advisory platforms, satellite data, and IoT-based monitoring enhance weather forecasting accuracy, improve resource allocation, and support early detection of production risks, contributing to reduced income volatility.* |
| Japan | Autonomous tractors, agricultural robots, drones, AI-based monitoring systems, IoT sensors, smart greenhouses | *Automation and AI-based monitoring systems reduce labour dependency, enable rapid response to climatic variability, and improve production stability under demographic and environmental pressures.* |
| South Korea | Smart farm systems, IoT-based climate control technologies, AI platforms, automated greenhouse systems | *Smart farm systems integrating IoT-based climate control and AI platforms support environmental monitoring and adaptive farm management, while addressing challenges related to climate variability and agricultural labour shortages.* |
| China | Precision agriculture, GPS-guided machinery, IoT sensors, AI-driven crop monitoring systems, remote sensing technologies | *Precision agriculture technologies and real-time crop monitoring enhance input optimization, improve production efficiency, and reduce crop losses.* |
| Thailand | GPS and GIS technologies, agricultural sensors, decision-support systems, digital farm management tools | *Technologies improve resource efficiency, enable real-time monitoring of crops and environment, and reduce production risks by enhancing decision-making and early detection of environmental threats.* |
| Australia | Drones, remote sensing technologies, IoT sensors, AI-based analytics, precision agriculture platforms | *Advanced monitoring technologies enhance drought management, early detection of crop stress, and adaptive responses to extreme climatic events.* |
| U.S. | Precision agriculture systems, AI-based analytics, IoT sensors, drones, satellite imagery, farm management software | *Improved yield monitoring and input optimization reduce production variability and operational costs; automation and guidance systems mitigate labour and operational risks; precision application decreases environmental and financial exposure.* |
| Netherlands | Smart greenhouse technologies, environmental control systems, precision agriculture tools, AI-based monitoring, sensor networks | *Smart greenhouse systems enable precise environmental control, minimizing climate-related production risks and stabilizing yields.* |
| Uganda | Climate-Smart Agriculture practices, improved seed varieties, water management systems, agro-advisory digital platforms | *Climate-adapted farming practices improve resilience to extreme weather events, enhance food security, and reduce vulnerability to climate variability.* |

| Country | Adopted technologies | Impact on Risk Management |
|---|---|---|
| Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda | Push-pull pest management systems, integrated soil fertility practices, biological pest control techniques | *Biologically based pest management systems reduce crop losses, improve soil fertility, and stabilize production under ecological constraints.* |

Source: *Author's synthesis based on McFadden (2023); Kendall et al. (2022); Hansen et al. (2023); Matsumoto (2021); Hoste (2017); Lee et al. (2022); World Economic Forum (2025); Suvittawat (2024); World Bank (2019); Khan et al. (2008).*

Although the level of technological sophistication varies between countries, the underlying pattern remains consistent: digital integration enhances farmers' capacity to anticipate, monitor, and mitigate production and market risks (FAO, 2019; FAO, 2021). In technologically advanced economies such as the United States, Japan, the Netherlands, and Australia, existing studies indicate that the implementation of precision agriculture systems, AI-based analytics, IoT infrastructures, and automation contributes to improved operational efficiency and reduced exposure to production variability. In the United States, the adoption of variable rate technologies, yield monitoring, and guidance systems supports input optimization and reduces operational and financial risks (McFadden, 2023). In Japan, smart agriculture initiatives integrating robotics, IoT, and AI have been developed partly in response to labor shortages and environmental uncertainty, strengthening production stability (Matsumoto, 2021). Similarly, in the Netherlands, advanced smart greenhouse systems enable precise environmental control, thereby mitigating climate-related risks and stabilizing yields (Hoste, 2017). In Australia, digital agriculture platforms incorporating remote sensing, IoT sensors, and data-driven decision systems are associated with improved monitoring and adaptive responses to climatic variability, although adoption remains uneven across farm types (Hansen et al., 2023).

Emerging economies such as India, China, South Korea, and Thailand demonstrate different but increasingly significant pathways toward digital integration. In India, AI-enabled advisory platforms and digital monitoring systems have been promoted to improve yield stability, input efficiency, and smallholder resilience (World Economic Forum, 2025). In China, studies on precision agriculture adoption indicate that GPS-guided machinery and digital monitoring technologies contribute to improved input management and production efficiency, while adoption remains influenced by farm scale and resource constraints (Kendall et al., 2022). Smart farm systems integrating IoT-based climate control and AI-driven platforms have been promoted in South Korea to enhance production efficiency, support environmental monitoring, and address structural challenges such as climate variability and labour shortages (Lee et al., 2022). In Thailand, the adoption of drones and digital monitoring platforms supports improved crop surveillance and resource management, contributing to reduced production uncertainty and more responsive farm-level decision-making (Suvittawat, 2024).

In contrast, several African countries illustrate adaptive innovation through context-specific solutions rather than high-capital digital infrastructures. Climate-Smart Agriculture initiatives in Uganda aim to strengthen resilience to extreme weather events and climate variability (World Bank, 2019). Likewise, push–pull pest management systems implemented in Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda demonstrate that biologically based technologies can significantly reduce pest-related losses and stabilize yields under ecological constraints (Khan et al., 2008). These cases illustrate that risk mitigation does not necessarily depend on advanced automation but can also emerge from locally adapted and ecologically grounded innovations.

While the international comparison demonstrates that digital technologies are associated with improved risk mitigation across diverse contexts, a structural pattern becomes evident. Highly capitalized agricultural systems tend to adopt advanced automation and AI-driven platforms, thereby reducing production volatility through technological intensity. In contrast, developing regions often rely on lower-cost, context-specific innovations adapted to local constraints. This divergence suggests that technological risk mitigation capacity is closely linked to financial capital, institutional infrastructure, and innovation ecosystems, a structural dynamic also reflected in recent global assessments of landholding scales and farm distribution (FAO, 2025).

Moreover, digitalization may reinforce existing structural asymmetries within agriculture. Large-scale farms benefit disproportionately from economies of scale in technology adoption, whereas smallholders face higher relative investment burdens. Without targeted policy interventions, digital transformation risks widening productivity and resilience gaps between farm categories. Technological innovation should therefore be interpreted not only as a technical solution but as part of a broader socio-economic transformation requiring inclusive governance frameworks.

Overall, the comparative evidence confirms that advanced agricultural technologies have substantial potential to enhance efficiency, sustainability, and risk management. However, their effectiveness remains contingent upon infrastructure quality, farmer training, financial accessibility, and supportive institutional environments. Integrated policy approaches combining regulatory support, education and training programs, accessible financing, and locally adapted research initiatives are essential to ensure that digital transformation strengthens resilience across diverse agricultural systems.

These findings highlight the need to interpret digital transformation not as a purely technological phenomenon, but as a multidimensional process shaped by structural, institutional, and socio-economic determinants. Moreover, the literature increasingly frames agricultural resilience as an integrated process linking digital innovation, climate adaptation, and sustainable production strategies (Iancu et al., 2022).

## 5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that digital transformation in agriculture represents a structural reconfiguration of production systems and risk management strategies rather than a simple technological upgrade. By synthesizing existing research and comparative international evidence, the analysis clarifies how smart technologies enhance resilience while simultaneously exposing structural constraints that limit inclusive adoption.

Advanced agricultural technologies, including precision agriculture, IoT systems, artificial intelligence, and big data analytics, strengthen risk management by improving monitoring, forecasting, and resource optimization. These tools facilitate the identification, assessment, reduction, and transfer of risks associated with climate variability, production instability, and market volatility. International evidence indicates that, across diverse economic contexts, technological integration contributes to productivity growth, improved sustainability, and greater adaptive capacity.

However, technological potential does not automatically translate into widespread resilience. Economic constraints, infrastructural gaps, limited digital literacy, regulatory shortcomings, and socio-cultural resistance continue to restrict adoption in many regions. The effectiveness of digital agriculture therefore depends not only on innovation availability but also on institutional support, targeted training, financial accessibility, and locally adapted implementation strategies.

Overall, this study advances understanding of the intersection between digital transformation and agricultural risk management by identifying the structural determinants that shape technological adaptability. While existing literature emphasizes the theoretical advantages of smart farming systems, further empirical research is required to assess the actual level of digital integration at farm level and to measure the concrete impact of emerging technologies on long-term resilience and sustainability.

Future research should prioritize context-specific assessments, comparative regional analyses, and policy evaluation frameworks capable of supporting inclusive and sustainable digital transformation in agriculture.

## References

Cristea, A., Bozgă, N. A., Tiţa, V. D., & Munteanu, C.-C. (2019). Young Romanian farmers' perceptions regarding sustainable agriculture. In R. Pamfilie, V. Dinu, L. Tăchiciu, D. Pleșea, & C. Vasiliu (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 5th BASIQ International Conference: New Trends in Sustainable Business and Consumption* (pp. 466–474). ASE Publishing.

De Mauro, A., Greco, M., & Grimaldi, M. (2016). A formal definition of big data based on its essential features. *Library Hi Tech, 34*(4), 549–567. https://doi.org/10.1108/LHT-06-2016-0061

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2025). *The state of food and agriculture 2025: Addressing land degradation across landholding scales*. https://www.fao.org/publications/sofa/2025/en

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2022). *The state of food and agriculture 2022: Leveraging agricultural automation for transforming agrifood systems*. https://openknowledge.fao.org/items/98a4c80a-b4d3-403c-8557-d8536c8316ee

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture 2021: Making agri-food systems more resilient to shocks and stresses*. https://www.fao.org/publications/sofa/2021/en/

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2019). *The state of food and agriculture 2019: Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction*. https://www.fao.org/publications/sofa/2019/en/

Hansen, B. D., Leonard, E., Mitchell, M. C., Easton, J., Shariati, N., Mortlock, M. Y., Schaefer, M., & Lamb, D. W. (2023). Current status of and future opportunities for digital agriculture in Australia. *Crop & Pasture Science, 74*(6), 524–537. https://doi.org/10.1071/CP21594

Hashem, I. A. T., Yaqoob, I., Anuar, N. B., Mokhtar, S., Gani, A., & Khan, S. U. (2015). The rise of "big data" on cloud computing: Review and open research issues. *Information Systems, 47*, 98–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.is.2014.07.004

Hoste, R., Suh, H., & Kortstee, H. (2017). *Smart farming in pig production and greenhouse horticulture: An inventory in the Netherlands* (Report 2017-097). Wageningen University & Research.

Iancu, T., Tudor, V. C., Dumitru, E. A., Sterie, C. M., Micu, M. M., Smedescu, D., Marcuta, L., Tonea, E., Stoicea, P., Vintu, C., Jitareanu, A. F., & Costuleanu, L. C. (2022). A scientometric analysis of climate change adaptation studies. *Sustainability, 14*(19), 12945. https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912945

Kamilaris, A., Kartakoullis, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2017). A review on the practice of big data analysis in agriculture. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 143*, 23–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.09.037

Khan, Z. R., Midega, C. A. O., Bruce, T. J. A., Hooper, A. M., & Pickett, J. A. (2008). Push–pull technology: A conservation agriculture approach for integrated pest management. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363*(1491), 611–621. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2173

Kendall, H., Clark, B., Li, W., Jin, S., Jones, G. D., Chen, J., Taylor, J., Li, Z., & Frewer, L. J. (2022). Precision agriculture technology adoption: A qualitative study of small-scale commercial "family farms" located in the North China Plain. *Precision Agriculture, 23*(1), 319–351. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-021-09839-2

Lee, S., Park, J.-H., Kim, E., & Jang, W. (2022). Analysis of the present status and future prospects for smart agriculture technologies in South Korea using national R&D project data. *Journal of Information Science Theory and Practice, 10*(Special), 112–122. https://doi.org/10.1633/JISTaP.2022.10.S.11

Matsumoto, M. (2021, April 26). *Japan's initiatives on smart agriculture* [Conference presentation]. *2nd Knowledge Sharing Workshop on Enhancing Food Supply Chain Resilience and Food Security in ASEAN with Utilization of Digital Technologies*, ERIA & SEARCA.

McFadden, J. (2023). *Precision agriculture in the digital era: Recent adoption on U.S. farms* (EIB-248). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. https://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/pub-details/?pubid=105894

Nijloveanu, D., Tița, V., Bold, N., Popescu, D. A., Smedescu, D., Smedescu, C., & Fîntîneru, G. (2024). The development of a prediction model related to food loss and waste in consumer segments of agrifood chain using machine learning methods. *Agriculture, 14*(10), 1837. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture14101837

Paudel, B., Riaz, S., Teng, S. W., Kolluri, R. R., & Sandhu, H. (2025). The digital future of farming: A bibliometric analysis of big data in smart farming research. *Cleaner and Circular Bioeconomy, 10*, 100132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clcb.2024.100132

Rifkin, J. (2011). *The third industrial revolution: How lateral power is transforming energy, the economy, and the world*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Schwab, K. (2016). *The fourth industrial revolution*. World Economic Forum.

Sundmaeker, H., Verdouw, C. N., Wolfert, J., & Pérez Freire, L. (2016). Internet of food and farm 2020. In O. Vermesan & P. Friess (Eds.), *Digitising the industry: Internet of things connecting the physical, digital and virtual worlds* (pp. 129–150). River Publishers. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003337966-4

Suvittawat, A. (2024). Investigating farmers' adoption of drone technology in agriculture in Thailand. *Agriculture, 14*(12), 2183. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture14122183

Toader, I.-A., & Mocuța, D. N. (2020). The risk management in the tourism, rural tourism and agritourism. *Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development, 20*(2), 477–482.

Trendov, N. M., Varas, S., & Zeng, M. (2019). *Digital technologies in agriculture and rural areas: Status report*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Wolfert, S., Ge, L., Verdouw, C., & Bogaardt, M. J. (2017). Big data in smart farming – A review. *Agricultural Systems, 153*, 69–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.01.023

Wolfert, J., Verdouw, C. N., Beulens, A. J. M., & Rialland, A. (2014). Virtualization of food supply chains with the internet of things. *Computers in Industry, 65*(1), 23–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2013.07.003

Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da Silva Santos, L. B., Bourne, P. E., Bouwman, J., Brookes, A. J., Clark, T., Crosas, M., Dillo, I., Dumon, O., Edmunds, S., Evelo, C. T., Finkers, R., … Mons, B. (2016). The FAIR guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data, 3*, 160018. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18

World Bank. (2019). *Climate-smart agriculture profile: Uganda*. https://climateknowledgeportal.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/2019-06/UGANDA_CSA_Profile.pdf

World Economic Forum. (2025). *Future farming in India: A playbook for scaling artificial intelligence in agriculture*. World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/publications/future-farming-a-playbook-for-scaling-artificial-intelligence-in-agriculture/